


FILED

AUG 26 2024

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
COUNTY OF STANISLAUS

BY  DEPUTY

Morin I. Jacob, Bar No. 204598
mjacob@lcwlegal.com
Caroline N. Cohen, Bar No. 278154
ccohen@lcwlegal.com
Madeline I. Cline, Bar No. 351522
mcline@lcwlegal.com
LIEBERT CASSIDY WHITMORE
A Professional Law Corporation
135 Main Street, 7th Floor
San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: 415.512.3000
Facsimile: 415.856.0306

Attorneys for Defendants MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT
and ROBERT FROBOSE

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF STANISLAUS

JANICE KEATING,

Plaintiff,

v.

MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT,
ROBERT FROBOSE, and DOES 1 to
100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: CV-24-003639

[ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO THE
HONORABLE JOHN R. MAYNE, DEPT. 21]

Complaint Filed: May 14, 2024

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS MODESTO IRRIGATION
DISTRICT AND ROBERT FROBOSE'S
SPECIAL MOTION TO STRIKE
PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

Date: August 15, 2024
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Dept.: 21

*[Filed concurrently with Defendant's Notice of
Special Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Complaint;
Defendant's Memorandum of Points and
Authorities in Support Thereof; Declaration of
Robert Frobose]*

(*Exempt from filing fees pursuant to Gov.
Code, § 6103.)

Liebert Cassidy Whitmore
A Professional Law Corporation
135 Main Street, 7th Floor
San Francisco, California 94105


1 Defendants MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT and ROBERT FROBOSE's
2 (collectively, "Defendants") Special Motion to Strike Plaintiff JANICE KEATING's ("Plaintiff")
3 Complaint ("Complaint") Pursuant to the Anti-SLAPP statute came on regularly for hearing on
4 August 15, 2024 at 8:30 a.m., in Department 21 of the above-entitled Court, the Honorable John
5 R. Mayne presiding.

6 After full consideration of the pleadings and documents submitted by the parties, as well
7 as counsel's oral arguments and good cause appearing, the Court rules as follows:

8 Defendants' Special Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Complaint is hereby **GRANTED** and all
9 of Plaintiff's causes of action are stricken, with prejudice. Defendants are dismissed from this
10 action, with prejudice, and Defendants are the prevailing party.

11 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

12
13 Dated: 8/16/24


THE HONORABLE JOHN R. MAYNE
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT